

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

MINUTES OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

JUNE 23, 2008

Pizzino called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. at the Jackson Township Hall with all Trustees, Fiscal Officer, Lyon, Fitzgerald and Heck present.

Pizzino moved and Walters seconded a motion to go into Executive Session for Administration Department Personnel (Appointment/Employment/Compensation) – Interview administrative personnel assistant applicant. **3-0 yes**

Upon return from Executive Session, Pizzino opened the Work session at 5:31 p.m.

Work Session

Pizzino moved and Walters seconded a motion to change the salary of Connie Holla to \$29,000.00 per year effective July 1, 2008. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 A

Heck: The work session this evening, a continuation if you would, on what we're going to do with our levy. The first opportunity that we have to take any type of action with the levy is this fall. It would be in regards to our existing 6 mill levy – do we put it on the ballot as replacement – do we put it on the ballot as renewal – do we put it on for a period of less than five years. It technically does not become effective, irregardless of what we do, until 2010. We have one more year to run our existing levy, but I think we need to take a strong look at what's out there. I've furnished the Board with some paperwork in regards to our finances and the first one is page 1, basically looking at the dollars that are estimated in our income from levies. And I've highlighted some areas. Item A, which is blank, is nothing more than our carryover. We need between 500 and 700 thousand dollars in carryover, which technically runs the department January, February and March until our first tax draw. It's kind of strange how we do our accounting. We run a fiscal year, January to December, but our operational year is actually the first part of April and how we have to dollars to make it work. What I did in addition to that, there are two major hits that we're getting and two years ago when we were looking at our levy, we talked about personal property tax and we're going to lose that and also utility tax and that loss. A lot of people didn't seem to be too concerned about that across the State, not realizing I don't think the type of dollars that were generated. But in Jackson, those business expenses that business did incur, obviously was a major change for them to have those dollars removed from their tax duplicate, it also removed from us major dollars. The State of Ohio, when they analyzed the process of helping to make us whole for four years, estimated our personal property tax of \$485,000.00, in reality, we've collected as high as \$780,000.00 in personal property tax, large part of it was \$600,000.00 or more and those dollars, as you can see, are slowing deteriorating and going away and that's part D in the paper I gave you. The red numbers indicate decreasing dollars that would be coming in.

And the other part was utility tax. We received anywhere between \$125,000 and \$150,000 a year in utility tax. And the State, again, locked us in as going to receive between \$115,000 and then reducing it to \$92,000, and then eventually being dropped off in 2011 with no dollars. In reality the department alone, just the fire department in Jackson Township, will have lost over \$1,000,000.00 in taxation per year based on the rollback of personal property and utility tax. We picked up \$400,000 by going to a six mill levy a couple years ago instead of the 5.6. The projection I have, and that's on another paper, second page, to you, was kind of a Review 1, which were dollars and expenses that the Clerk's office that I put together in regards to dollars. And Review 2 which is probably more realistic to how the flow of dollars occurs but it still comes back to what Mr. Gonzalez and I talked about with the Board a couple months ago, that in 2012, 2013 if we replace our levy, we're going to be out of dollars and those dollars are obviously a concern for our operation and the decisions we have to make. Do we do a replacement and if so how long? Do we do a renewal and if so how long? In regards to it but on the second page that I gave you it says Review 1 and Review 2, it kind of gives you an idea of where dollars will be and it's using the reserve fund accounts that we normally look at having excess of the first year or two of a levy, those are banked, put into the reserve account that Mr. Gonzalez recommended to the Board a few years ago, and then as we end up at the latter end of a levy's life, those dollars are pulled back in and help to keep our budget whole.

Pizzino: I'm not too sure I agree with that statement, Chief. I think if you replace the levy you're going to be okay. You're saying that if we replace the levy, we're going to be in trouble.

Heck: No. I'm showing you strictly on current dollars that if we would do a renewal of the levy you'd be in trouble by 2012, 2013.

Pizzino: But we're not talking about a replacement here, we're talking about a renewal.

Heck: I'm just showing you the dollars of renewal on both Review 1 and Review 2. Plus the fact, if you look, in my career in the fire service and I've been here for a few years, when we've had levies and they've passed every year basically because of the business part of our community, we've had an increase in our dollars between \$100,000 to \$150,000 a year. And that's because of commercial tax. If you notice in the projection that I put out there the last 2 or 3 years that that levy would run, we're actually having a decrease in dollars. And it's just a major change that I guess townships are faced with in regards to property tax and how it's impacted on. And then that of course hits the cost of annual increases that we have, if wages are 3 to 3 and a quarter percent increase and our other costs that go along with operations are there, it becomes an expensive item.

Pizzino: Well, and again, the State promised they're going to make this whole. What does that mean? I don't have a crystal ball. So maybe the Fiscal Officer could tell us a little bit about that.

Gonzalez: Well Ted touched on that. When they said 'make us whole' it was make us whole to the 2005 numbers, I think it was.

Heck: That's correct.

Gonzalez: That's what they're making us whole to. Our increase that we usually would have seen is not there. They froze it at the 2005 numbers. They're making us whole for that. Our expenses go up on just inflationary costs alone. There's no increase.

Pizzino: It could be tough to get a five year renewal out of these figures.

Heck: A replacement levy would probably break us even at the end of 2014. And that would be the time you would be seeking an additional replacement or renewal of the existing levy.

Gonzalez: In my opinion, I agree with Ted on the Review 2. If he does very, very little, he can make it for three years, using up all the funds we have.

Pizzino: I've talked not only with Chief Heck, I've talked to Chief Neftzer and Mr. Boger and my beliefs are that we have to watch every dime. We knew this was coming but unfortunately this economy is no better. The other concern I have is if we go three to five years with just a renewal levy, what does that do to our equipment program that we have? You know, at the end of five years or four years, we're going to be using equipment dollars for operating monies and we don't have anything to replace our equipment then.

Heck: I don't show any use of capital funds for operations. If you took the capital dollars we have right now, which is a million dollars set aside, and used that, that would extend your operations a year to eighteen months and at the end of that time period you have no reserve dollars set aside for capital purchase, you now have vehicles that are four years older, you have nothing set aside for the replacement of your engine out of Station 4 and my recommendation is 2013 that that be considered. And that would allow us to have a decent reserve apparatus, current apparatus that are reserve units are 88's and are functioning well, but in the next 2 or 3, 4 years I don't know what the impact to that will be. And I'm also going to talk about the aerial here in the next item that we do.

Pizzino: Before we talk about that. How much dollar, if you're not using any of the reserve accounts for operating, we can break even for three years. You're saying you could go another year and a half to two years, Chief? If you use that?

Heck: I'm saying in the Review 2, that does show the use of the reserve accounts that we put dollars away for for operations that will allow us to get through probably 2012. At the end of that time period, you're out of money. But it's not talking the reserve accounts for capital.

Gonzalez: It's not the money that's put away for vehicles.

Heck: No. There's a million dollars put away for vehicles.

Pizzino: But we still have our monies put away for our vehicles.

Heck: That is correct.

Pizzino: So we could go through 2012 and still have money put away for our vehicles.

Heck: That is correct.

Pizzino: We have that million dollars put aside for this new aerial and.

Heck: Aerial and also starting towards the pump replacement.

Pizzino: In 2002 we started talking about and they might have talked about it before I got on the Board, about replacing that aerial in 2008.

Heck: In 2000.

Pizzino: Well, again, since I was on the Board, Chief. And he estimated it at approximately a million dollars and that's why we have, you have, what, \$900,000.00 set aside.

Heck: \$906,000.00 under State purchasing is what I'm told the aerial costs today. I guess let me blend both of these into the discussion. The problem we run into is there is anywhere from a 4 to 9 percent increase projected under State contracts sometime between now and the end of December. So you're talking \$36,000 to \$50,000 for the same truck. In 2009, NFPA is going to have major change on their apparatus. And that will add probably \$15,000 to \$25,000 to the cost of the truck. In 2010, EPA has a major mandatory change for diesel engines and all the truck manufacturers are telling us we don't have a clue what it's going to cost. Probably in the neighborhood of in excess of \$100,000 because the frame and cab design changes they'll have to make to the industry designs to meet the EPA regs. I'm being told that Detroit which has been the mainstay of the Fire Service is probably considering not making an engine available for any cab-over and that's the majority of trucks in the fire service who use commercial. Those will be modified. Caterpillar is still undecided, Cummins is the only one that has made a change, saying that they'll stay in the fire business, but I hate to see what the price is going to be. The change is the fact of having to enlarge the size of the cab and having to change the length of the wheel base and all those require engineering changes and when I talked to two of the manufacturers both told be probably in excess of \$100,000 just to accomplish that. They don't know. They don't know what the final standards are going to be. They don't know how they're going to have to put those standards into their design. They're waiting to go out and re-engineer these trucks. So

Pizzino: You've been talking to them. Upwards of \$150,000 total with the increase that we have plus the design

Heck: I would say between \$125,000 and \$175,000 more for the same vehicle in the next 18 to 20 months. And all I can do is put that out in front of you, if you would like I can invite two or three different companies to come in with their sales reps and explain where they're at. But all I can do is look at State purchasing, see what's there, all contracts are through 2011 and they all petition the State to make their changes. The other thing that has my attention, not so much with the aerial as what's coming down the road, and I went through this in 1978, 79, 80, is we got into allocation. When we went out to bid, everybody took an exception to the bid that they submitted, but a 10% cost factor on it, saying 'we don't know what the trucks are really going to cost by the time it's delivered', and allocations are entering the picture because we don't have products available. And I hope that we're not heading back that way because eventually we

ended up at 14% annual inflation for the fire service. And that went for a couple years. But that's just talking about apparatus and not other capital items that we need to look at in 2014, 2015, things that are coming down the pike. I just think the way rules have been changed at least for those dependent upon personal property tax, it hurts. And it hurts us more than other communities.

Pizzino: Okay, Mr. Walters and Mr. Burger, I think we have all the information we need. Why don't we take it back to our offices and next meeting come up with a decision one way or another for Chief Heck? Unless Chief you have any other comments.

Heck: I don't. I wish I could say things differently but one thing, and I've commended the Board on it, in the past as you've planned ahead, you've looked ahead in the past I think your fiscally responsible for what you're doing, I think you've held me accountable for that and hopefully if citizens have questions or issues we'll be glad to meet with them and explain that. But if we're going to continue to provide the level of service and care that this community has and on the positive side, enjoy a reduced insurance rate, we have to continue to move ahead with what we're doing in regards to our operations.

Pizzino: You know I want to back up a little bit, Chief. Our time line if we decide to go in November, the first reading has to be July 28, our second reading on the resolution has to be August 11. If this Board feels that we should go with either renewal or replacement levy this November, then we're probably going to have to have a special meeting. So I guess I need to know what your feelings are. Just to meet the timelines. Well, not necessarily.

Walters: No, we should be alright.

Fitzgerald: We have done away with the first and second reading rule.

Pizzino: Okay.

Fitzgerald: That doesn't exist any more. Just for like five years.

Pizzino: So we (indecipherable) July the 14.

Fitzgerald: So we have two. First we send to the County Auditor, here's our millage, how is it going to generate, that's the first thing. The second one is they come back with it and then we submit it to the Board of Elections for the ballot. Those are the two actions. They can be done at two meetings. Marilyn has explained that in her memo.

Pizzino: If we hold off until our next meeting, what's our next meeting date, Marilyn.

Fitzgerald: The 14th.

Lyon: July 14th.

Pizzino: How are you going to have the resolutions ready.

Lyon: The first resolution is needed by July 28th, so you can make your decision on the 14th and we'll come back with the first resolution on the 28th. The second resolution would be done August 11th. And then it would have sufficient time to go to the Board of Elections before the 21st of August which is the deadline.

Walters: So we could look to try to make a decision and then, Mr. Pizzino, next meeting.

Lyon: Correct.

Walters: And then be ready to move forward.

Pizzino: And again we don't have to go in November but, you know, as Chief Heck would tell you, we only have three chances at this.

Heck: If you don't go in November, it leaves May and then the following general election.

Gonzalez: I would like to add one thing. If we go in November, we're going to be facing three other levies and this will continue happening. There's going to be a school levy, Mental Health and Recovery Board, and it looks now like the library is going to be on. So we'll have four levies that our voters will look at. I would say, the earlier you get on the ballot the better your chances are, you want be at the front of that ballot not after three others. The longer we drag it out the worse it gets. And to tell you the truth, I can see the handwriting on the wall, I think we all can, it's not going to get any better because the Commissioners have already promised they're going to let MRDD go back again after November and SARTA's talking about going back again, it's just going to be a barrage of levies. Everybody has the same problem.

Pizzino: Any recommendation?

Gonzalez: Yes. Change the tax structure in the State

Pizzino: Well, still, I think that we have all the information Chief Heck provided. I think what we should do is, go back, make a decision on the 14th, decide if we want to go in November, if not, of course, again, if we don't go in November, we have to go in May. But that only gives us one shot at it, really. And as Chief has reminded us he runs his whole department on one levy. We either sink or swim on that one levy. So I think it's important to get the message out and I think, personally, I'd like to see it go in November. That's just my personal opinion. I think, Chief, you'd like to go in November also.

Heck: I think that's the best opportunity to have the electorate as a whole, the numbers that will show up at the polls, are truly the ones feel pro or con to the issue. It won't be decided by 20 or 25 percent, it will be decided by 40 or 50 percent of the electorate.

Walters: Well, I think, yes, we need to be able to decide next meeting clearly on the timeline if we are going go in November. I want to thank the Chief for taking the time to go over this stuff with me on numerous occasions. I'll actually say, looking at the review 1, review 2 numbers that we have in front of us today, I'm actually a little more optimistic about where these dollars put

us in case this Board is concerned about a replacement levy. I don't know what direction we're going to go on that but I'm more optimistic after seeing these numbers, so we have to make a decision, so I guess we should be prepared to make it.

Pizzino: Chief, do you have the numbers on a replacement levy on a \$175,000 home? About \$26.00, am I correct?

Heck: The only thing I can reference is what Mr. Gonzalez gave us before. It was around \$26.00 for a \$175,000 home.

Pizzino: Indecipherable.

Heck: I truly wish we had different methods to use. It's just townships are extremely limited in what they can do. It's been an issue I've seen for 35 years.

Pizzino: Okay. Anything else, Chief?

Heck: No. Thank you, I appreciate it. The only other thing is the aerial and we need to take a look at that. I'm concerned that we're going on prices and how that impacts on us, too, and trying to be as dollar conscious as we possibly can. And again the only thing I can do is tell you what the industry is telling me and how I've seen things run and how we are with State purchasing.

Pizzino: I believe our aerial is 22 years old?

Walters: It's an 86 right Chief?

Heck: It's an 86. Yes, purchased in 85, delivered in 86.

Pizzino: I feel that we can (indecipherable) this aerial for the last 8 years. I think it's, you know, we cannot deviate from our plan. Our plan 8 years ago was to buy that aerial truck in 2008. Chief has been saving the money. That money is put aside for that aerial truck, it's needed, we're not going to get rid of our old aerial truck we're going to put that in reserve, am I correct?

Heck: That's correct.

Pizzino: And I feel that I can make that decision this evening and I would support the purchase of that aerial truck. I think we're just wasting dollars if we don't do that. Why should we spend another \$150,000 to \$175,000 by putting off a decision, that we know that we need that vehicle? It's not like that vehicle is only 10 or 11 years old. We did have that rebuilt about

Heck: The hydraulics were redone about 3 years ago on it.

Pizzino: The frame part of it, I believe. And the hydraulics

Heck: The truck goes through a non-destructive testing every July, August somewhere along in there that and Engine 4 out of Station 4 since it has an aerial device on it, just to ensure that it's meeting the minimum requirements for operations.

Pizzino: And, again, we have that money set aside and that was our plan, and it's always been our plan for the last 8 years. I don't think we should deviate from that plan now. Whatever happens with this levy, one way or another, whether we go with a renewal or we go with a replacement, we know that if, you know, the decision is it's a renewal, we can get by at least three years, which hopefully the economy is a lot better in three years. But, again, if we take that million dollars and put it in our operating capital to run a fire department, what's going to happen in three years, we're going to be out of money and we're not going to be able to replace any equipment, not only our aerial but our (indecipherable). You know we have six now, where do we go, where do we start, where do we finish here. I think it's important we should make that decision. I'd like to make it in the form of a motion that we actually. Neal, you don't have paperwork on that, do you?

Fitzgerald: The aerial?

Pizzino: Yes.

Fitzgerald: I just found out about it tonight.

Pizzino: Well, I guess we can't make a formal motion but I guess next meeting we can, Chief, if the Board agrees.

Heck: I'd be glad to meet individually with the Board members.

Walters: I would definitely prefer that we hold off on it till next meeting.

Burger: I agree.

Pizzino: We're going to hold off till next meeting and decide on the aerial and the fire levy. Okay, anything else, Chief?

Heck: No, sir. Thank you, I appreciate it, gentlemen.

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 B

Poindexter presented proposed changes or additions to the zoning regulations regarding dangerous, exotic and wild animals. She also pointed out some other proposed changes to the regulations. She said these will go to the Zoning Commission for review and possible initiation.

At 6:10 p.m., Pizzino called the General Session to order with all department heads present. He requested that all cell phones and pagers be turned off at this time.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Public Speaks – None

Police Department

RESOLUTION 08-040, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion pursuant to ORC Section 505.49 and 509.01, Dennis R. Coy is hereby appointed as Part Time Patrol Officer and Township Constable for the Jackson Township Police District, Stark County, Ohio, effective at 0001 on June 24, 2008, at the established compensation rate and we hereby accept the attached Oath of Office. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-041, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion pursuant to ORC Section 505.49 and 509.01, Herbert C. Fogle is hereby appointed as Part Time Patrol Officer and Township Constable for the Jackson Township Police District, Stark County, Ohio, effective at 0002 on June 24, 2008, at the established compensation rate and we hereby accept the attached Oath of Office. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-042, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion pursuant to ORC Section 505.49 and 509.01, Craig Hall is hereby appointed as Part Time Patrol Officer and Township Constable for the Jackson Township Police District, Stark County, Ohio, effective at 0003 on June 24, 2008, at the established compensation rate and we hereby accept the attached Oath of Office. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-043, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion pursuant to ORC Section 505.49 and 509.01, Joshua J. Vacha is hereby appointed as Part Time Patrol Officer and Township Constable for the Jackson Township Police District, Stark County, Ohio, effective at 0004 on June 24, 2008, at the established compensation rate and we hereby accept the attached Oath of Office. **3-0 yes**

Pizzino called a five minute break to allow time for photos to be taken.

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion to accept a \$500.00 donation to the Hooked on Fishing, Not on Drugs program from Gardiner Trane. **3-0 yes**

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion to accept a \$500.00 donation to the Jackson Township PRIDE Program from the Jackson Belden Chamber of Commerce. **3-0 yes**

Administration Department

Lyon introduced Larry Marcus, Vice President of the Stark Development Board Finance Corporation. Mr. Marcus explained the revolving loan program between the Stark Development Board and Jackson Township. He also asked for approval for two projects. One project is with the Branhaven Swim & Tennis, with the specific borrower being Ogan, LLC which is owned by Jonathan Bauomael. Mr. Marcus explained that Jackson Township's portion of the loan is \$25,000 which is already on deposit.

RESOLUTION 08-044, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of our signatures upon the attached letter to the Stark Development Board Finance Corporation (SDBFC) approving the RLF loan request of Ogen, LLC dba Branhaven Swim & Tennis as described in the attached June 5, 2008 letter from SDBFC. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 C

Mr. Marcus explained that the second request was from Dr. Goodwin Meniru who is a Jackson Township physician specializing in reproductive medicine. He is currently in a leased space and needs additional space and is moving to a purchased office at 4585 Everhard Rd. The borrowing entity is Good Win I Meniru Real Estate Management LLC. The Jackson Township amount of the loan would be \$25,000 for an eleven year term in order to help Dr. Meniru get a 20 year Federal loan.

Pizzino voiced a concern about granting loans for more than five years that eventually the dollars will run out. Pizzino asked if Dr. Meniru can use other funds and still receive his Federal grant. Walters expressed the same concern. After some discussion, Mr. Marcus will talk with Dr. Meniru to see if some other arrangement can be worked out so he doesn't lose his Federal grant.

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion to table the request from Goodwin I Meniru Real Estate Management LLC. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-045, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board President's signature upon the attached Merchant Processing Agreement, Fee Schedule and Merchant Application and further authorize the placement of the Fiscal Officer's signature upon the attached Corporate Resolution for Bankcard Merchant Account with First Merit Bank. **3-0 yes**

Gonzalez explained this agreement is for three months until amounts can be established to base a fixed rate on.

RESOLUTION 08-046, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion pursuant to ORC Section 511.10, we hereby authorize the hiring of Michelle L. Caldwell for the full-time position of Administrative Personnel Assistant in the Administration Department at Level C of the Benefit Package for Management Personnel, and we further authorize her compensation to be set at \$28,000 per year, effective July 9, 2008. **3-0 yes**

Highway Department

RESOLUTION 08-047, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Pizzino seconded a motion that Superior Paving and Materials, Inc. is the lowest and best bidder for the Resurfacing Project 2008 and hereby award a Contract to them in the base bid amount of \$592,955.86 with Alternates A, C, E. and F in the amount of \$52,798.98

for a total Contract amount of \$645,754.84 in accordance with the Company's proposal and the Specifications and authorize the placement of the Board's signatures on the Contract. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-048, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion that J. D. Striping & Services, Inc. is the lowest and best bidder for the Striping Project 2008 and hereby award a Contract to them in the amount of \$43,823.61 in accordance with the Company's proposal and the Specifications and authorize the placement of the Board's signatures on the Contract. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 D

Pizzino moved and Walters seconded a motion to approve Budget Module No. 8-A-08 for Resurfacing in the amount of \$45,754.84. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 E

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 205.310.5385. Other Expense, to account code 205.310.5606, Resurfacing, in the amount of \$46,000.00. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-049, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board President's signature upon the attached Agreement with the Ohio Department Transportation for the purchase of Rock Salt. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-050, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of our signatures upon the attached Fulton Drive/Arlington Avenue Signal Maintenance Agreement. **3-0 yes**

Zoning & Planning Department

RESOLUTION 08-051, ATTACHED

Walters moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board President's signature upon the attached Summer Student Internship Agreement and appoint Jeremy DuBois to a summer internship in the Zoning Department contingent upon negative drug screen and any other testing requirement. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-052, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion whereas, the Jackson Township Board of Trustees, having been informed in writing that noxious weeds are growing on the lands in charge of Chase Home Finance, LLC, Jackson Township, described as follows: Parcel No. 1607109 – 7178 Bretz St. NW., Massillon, OH 44646.

Therefore, be it resolved that said Chase Home Finance, LLC, whose tax mailing address is: Chase Home Finance LLC, 3415 Vision Dr., Columbus, OH 43219 be notified by serving on them by certified mail with return receipt requested, a written copy of this resolution that said noxious weeds are growing on such lands and that, pursuant to ORC Section 5579.05, they must

be cut or destroyed within five (5) days after the service of such notice or show this Board cause why there is no need for doing so. The owner shall have a continuing duty to cut or destroy the noxious weeds every 30 days from the date of this Resolution until September 30. If the owner fails to meet this obligation within the five-day period, or the subsequent 30 day periods, the Township will mow at \$150.00 per hour with a \$400.00 minimum charge. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-053, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion whereas, the Jackson Township Board of Trustees, having been informed in writing that noxious weeds are growing on the lands in charge of Gregory & Deborah Tucker, Jackson Township, described as follows: Parcel No. 1604671 – 6016 Lake O Springs Ave. NW, Canton, OH 44718..

Therefore, be it resolved that said Gregory & Deborah Tucker, whose tax mailing address is: First American RE Tax Service, 1721 Moon Lake Blvd. Suite 400, Hoffman Estates, IL 60169, be notified by serving on them by certified mail with return receipt requested, a written copy of this resolution that said noxious weeds are growing on such lands and that, pursuant to ORC Section 5579.05, they must be cut or destroyed within five (5) days after the service of such notice or show this Board cause why there is no need for doing so. The owner shall have a continuing duty to cut or destroy the noxious weeds every 30 days from the date of this Resolution until September 30. If the owner fails to meet this obligation within the five-day period, or the subsequent 30 day periods, the Township will mow at \$150.00 per hour with a \$400.00 minimum charge. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-054, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion whereas, the Jackson Township Board of Trustees, having been informed in writing that noxious weeds are growing on the lands in charge of Frank & Andrea Kennedy, Jackson Township, described as follows: Parcel No. 1606296 – 5321 Meadowlark St. NW, N. Canton, OH 44720.

Therefore, be it resolved that said Frank & Andrea Kennedy, whose tax mailing address is: Countrywide Title Corp. Tax Dept., 1757 Tapo Canyon R., Simi Valley, CA 93063 be notified by serving on them by certified mail with return receipt requested, a written copy of this resolution that said noxious weeds are growing on such lands and that, pursuant to ORC Section 5579.05, they must be cut or destroyed within five (5) days after the service of such notice or show this Board cause why there is no need for doing so. The owner shall have a continuing duty to cut or destroy the noxious weeds every 30 days from the date of this Resolution until September 30. If the owner fails to meet this obligation within the five-day period, or the subsequent 30 day periods, the Township will mow at \$150.00 per hour with a \$400.00 minimum charge. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-055, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion whereas, the Jackson Township Board of Trustees, having been informed in writing that noxious weeds are growing on the lands in charge of Renee Blashak, Jackson Township, described as follows: Parcel No. 1603984 – 6360 Strausser St. NW, N. Canton, OH 44720.

Therefore, be it resolved that said Renee Blashak, whose tax mailing address is: First American RE Tax Service, 1721 Moon Lake Blvd. Suite 400, Hoffman Estates, IL 60169 be notified by serving on them by certified mail with return receipt requested, a written copy of this resolution that said noxious weeds are growing on such lands and that, pursuant to ORC Section 5579.05, they must be cut or destroyed within five (5) days after the service of such notice or show this Board cause why there is no need for doing so. The owner shall have a continuing duty to cut or destroy the noxious weeds every 30 days from the date of this Resolution until September 30. If the owner fails to meet this obligation within the five-day period, or the subsequent 30 day periods, the Township will mow at \$150.00 per hour with a \$400.00 minimum charge. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 08-056, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion whereas, the Jackson Township Board of Trustees, having been informed in writing that noxious weeds are growing on the lands in charge of Robert Goodnight, Jackson Township, described as follows: Parcel No. 1601062 – 8380 Stuhldreher St. NW, Massillon, OH 44646.

Therefore, be it resolved that said Robert Goodnight, whose tax mailing address is: First American RE Tax Service, 1721 Moon Lake Blvd. Suite 400, Hoffman Estates, IL 60169 be notified by serving on them by certified mail with return receipt requested, a written copy of this resolution that said noxious weeds are growing on such lands and that, pursuant to ORC Section 5579.05, they must be cut or destroyed within five (5) days after the service of such notice or show this Board cause why there is no need for doing so. The owner shall have a continuing duty to cut or destroy the noxious weeds every 30 days from the date of this Resolution until September 30. If the owner fails to meet this obligation within the five-day period, or the subsequent 30 day periods, the Township will mow at \$150.00 per hour with a \$400.00 minimum charge. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 F

At 6:20 p.m., Pizzino opened the Public Hearing continued for nuisance at 4531 Erie Avenue NW.

Poindexter: Yes. The Board should have in front of you, I went out on 6/11 and took more pictures of the property so the Board could get a better idea of the items that are on the property. Pictures 1 of 3 show the items that are in the front of the property and you can see these items from the street. Pictures 1 of 2 those are items that are in the back of the property. The property kind of drops down in the rear so you can't really see those items from the street but it's our thought that, you know, the entire property is a nuisance to the neighborhood.

Pizzino: You know, Mrs. Poindexter, why don't we show the audience what we're talking about. We're fortunate, we don't have too many nuisance complaints but this is what we're dealing with this nuisance complaint. So Mr. Gonzalez is going to lower the screen and if Carles, or if somebody could put the pictures there. While we're waiting Joni do you want to continue on.

Poindexter: Yes, I believe that our nuisance letter that we sent to Mr. Jernigan does include all the items that are shown in the photos because as the letter stated it talked about

Fitzgerald: Yes, we determined that, Joni.

Poindexter: Right, it talked about wood, doors, shelving, all the items included on the property.

Fitzgerald: Also, if there's anybody in the audience that might want to speak, John, you might want to give them the opportunity. In case somebody showed up for it.

Pizzino: Here's what we're dealing with on the property. You want to shut the lights.

Poindexter: Those are items that you can see from Erie Street that are on the property, in front of the garage and on the side of the garage.

Pizzino: And we can see all this debris from (indistinguishable).

Poindexter: Some of those items are, there's like shelving units on the property.

Unidentified lady: Is it a business?

Pizzino: No it's a residential.

Poindexter: No it's a residential use of a property. Some of those items are, he has like shelving units and he was trying to enclose it with tarps, to hide it. But a lot of stuff is outside that tarped area also. This picture here shows items that, I guess you could say, it was trying to be concealed within the tarped area. That's a photo of the outside of the area. That is the rear of the property there. That's also in the rear of the property. All the ones on this page here are in the rear of the property. One and two of three are the items that you can see from Erie Street that are in the front of the property. Yes, that's a picture in the front of the property. Yes, if you want to show maybe the whole page there.

Pizzino: Okay, this Board extended this hearing from last time because Mr. Jernigan wasn't here. Is he in the audience? Would anybody like to speak about this nuisance at 4531 Erie Avenue NW? Anyone? Okay. Mr. Fitzgerald.

Fitzgerald: I would make that if the Board is inclined to accept Joni's recommendation, I'd make a motion to declare the property a nuisance as described in the two sets of photos she has, all the photos she provided to the Board.

Walters moved and Burger seconded the above recommended and to declare the property at 4531 Erie Avenue NW, Canal Fulton, OH 44614 a nuisance. **3-0 yes**

Pizzino: Mr. Fitzgerald, do we give want to give him time to clean this up or just go

Fitzgerald: Well, I feel we should just proceed with concluding our procedure. I did mention at the last meeting it's in foreclosure. I did speak with the attorney in the foreclosure proceeding and he had asked us to just give the bank a brief look to see if they could do something. But if not, we'll just have to do it.

Pizzino: Okay, we've declared that property a nuisance and one of our departments will go in and clean it up and we will actually charge the property and put it on their taxes. Again, it's in foreclosure so hopefully, the bank will clean it up and we won't have to do that. Anything else, Mrs. Poindexter?

Poindexter: No, thank you.

Fiscal Office

Work Session – Gas Street Lights

Gonzalez: I think most of the people here are either from Windsor Green or Laurelwoods. We sent out a letter, we've done this before, two times we've sent out letters with little response. First of all I don't want to alarm anybody, we're not here about taking out your street lights, if you guys want your streetlights, you want everything to stay as it is we'll be more than happy to leave everything just as is. It's just the cost of these as you see in the letter, particularly the ones at Windsor Green, are \$442.00 a year paying for gas. The typical electric lighting district is \$23.00 a year. You're spending \$400.00 a year for those gas lights. We do fix those, we do have problems sometimes fixing those but again we'll continue doing that. What happens is one of the mantles goes out or a kid shoots it out or whatever, we try to track down parts, we call different vendors to try to maintain those lights. There have been leaks in the gas lines, at times. Ralph, do you have anything else you want to add? You maintain them more than anybody.

Boger: Our problem is simply to go out and ascertain that there is damage and then we mark down the light and the number and what it needs and then we have to go out a second time to make sure that what we've requested to be repaired has been repaired properly. That way we can go ahead and pay the vendor at that time. That's the highway involvement in this thing.

Gonzalez: Now, Laurelwoods is not nearly as expensive, it's \$140.00 a year versus \$23.00 a year for electric. The issues at hand is if you decide that you want to try to save the money and remove the gas lights, some people like them, some people don't think they throw enough light out. They do throw out far less light than an electric light does. One of the plans that the Township is moving forward with currently is we're negotiating right now to do lights through this whole intersection. This is going to become like a downtown area at Wales and Fulton and we're going to a streetlight that looks similar to North Canton's, a black streetlight, sidewalks, that whole type of thing. What we've done with that as part of the negotiation, the vendor is going to come up with a neighborhood light that will match that. So we'll carry that theme through the Township and it will be a little smaller light made more for a neighborhood. We have not gone out and priced that at this point, what it would be to take out the gas lights and put in the electric lights. We could pay for that up front and assess it through the lighting district fund if the Trustees decide to go that way if that's what you're interested in, we need to know that so we can start in that direction. But at the price of \$440.00 and \$140.00 a year your currently paying, I would think your assessment to move to electric lights, would be doable you know even if it is \$400.00 a year for maybe four or five years, then it would drop to that \$23.00 electric cost once the upfront costs was repaid. So I guess we really just wanted to hear from

you, we kept sending out letters, we didn't get a lot of feedback, I'm glad to see there's so many here and if you want to address the Board, please come up and speak.

Pizzino: I just want to add to that, again, we just want to bring to your attention what it's costing and I'm sure you realize that on your taxes but there is a concern about savings and if you would like to do that, if the majority would like to do that, we would work with you and do that. Mr. Gonzalez, as you know, the property taxes are going up, and up, and up. We talked about it earlier this evening there are four different groups going for levies here in November and any way that we can help save a little bit, that's what we're here for. But, again, I want to echo that, if you're happy with your streetlights, we're happy if you're happy.

Gonzalez: And I can tell you, I've only received two phone calls and both of them called saying don't take out our lights, we really like our lights, and they're nice. That's two but, I mean, I guess that is entirely up to you, they're your lights. We're just here to bring it to your attention and see if we can help.

Pizzino: So anybody who would like to come up and speak, they can, we need them to state their name and address, please and then tell us your feelings.

Brad Black of 5651 Carters Grove Circle I think you should look into that. Those are horrible lights and half the time they don't work. They're not bright if there is a better way to do this, I think we should.

Gonzalez: You have a radio voice.

Black: Thank you.

Pizzino: Thank you, Brad. Anyone else?

Hello. I'm Leanne Price, 6619 Hogan Way, I'm part of the Laurelwoods, the small group that you're referring to and with green being such a big deal, lead, green, this, that, 24 hours gas lighting is just not, it's not of 2008, first of all, and I'm not sure where the lights even came from or whose conception it was in the beginning as far as besides East Ohio Gas owns the property back there, which we have on our documentations since the 1800's so we know that so I'm sure there's some people here from Dominion who would not care for you to replace those lights with electrical issues and I'm kind of saddened that you don't already have something up front as far as like according to the letters, you're coming up with something where you're saying 'we have some numbers and some figures' so where people can like you know and then you have the deregulation of the electric company coming in so that whole buy in issue of you know electric is this price today, the deregulation that's coming in the next couple of months may not also afford us all to carry those lights and may also issue the same kind of funding that we're paying for those and I totally agree with the other gentleman, those are you know the lights are obviously not efficient for what they're deemed to be as far as any kind of security or else wise. Thank you.

Steve Ingram, 6638 Forestwood Street. I'm in Laurelwoods as well. I've been there eleven and a half years and the lights have been there, sure they don't throw off the lighting that you would want on the street. Before I think we can do anything, in any neighborhood, we're going to have to see prices, we're going to have to see what they look like and we're going to have to try to do something better than what we've got here tonight to make a change. So, I mean, we're going to need somebody from each neighborhood to kind of take the lead and work with you all to get the pricing and the look, you know, and so we can disseminate it and get it out to the people so we can make an educated decision and hopefully move forward with something instead of letting it sit for two to three years. Thank you.

Gonzalez: Let me address one of your questions. It came up twice. What it looks like, is if you drive through downtown North Canton that is the light. We're getting them locally. They are being made at Union Metal in Canton. One of the issues that we've had with developers over the years is some developers on the higher scale have put in very elaborate lights and it's not bad if there's a homeowners association because then the homeowners association takes care of those lights but if not, the Township gets stuck trying to repair them. As I said we're looking into going out to bid installation and maintenance of all township lights in our districts. So what we're going to do is people or developers will have three choices, well really two since they can not choose the electric company. They can either go with the light the electric company offers or the one the Township has from Union Metal that's going to be designed to match the downtown look here and we're going to bid that out Township wide to try to get you the best price we possibly can. So if we bid your project, it may be bid with much more along with taking over all the maintenance through the whole Township to try to keep your costs down. That's why we are revisiting this again now. If we see there is an interest with you, we will go forward and get those prices to you.

Ingram: Will the maintenance be done by Mr. Boger's group?

Gonzalez: No. It will be through this contract. We're going to bid it out Township wide and you know people like Schaub Electric and whoever will be bidding on this. Whoever comes in lowest and best bidder the Trustees will award that contract and then they'll keep those parts because that will be the Township official light.

Hi, I'm Kerri Salvino, I live in Windsor Green at 8156 Abbington Street. My husband and I have been there for three years and we've not been happy with the gas lights at all. They don't throw off a lot of light half the time the mantles are missing, the lights aren't working, it's takes months for someone to come and fix them. So we would love to have this looked at a couple of our concerns, I agree that we need to see pricing, it would be wonderful to have a letter to go out with the pictures with the pricing, you know, are you going assess over three years, are you looking assessing over five years, I guess my next thing would be it was mentioned in the letter, I would love to see something written down with ever lighting we go forward whether we keep the gas lamps or whether we go with electric lighting who do you call when you notice that one is out at this point I really am not sure and I don't want to spend twenty minutes trying to go through Township offices trying to find the right person to report it to so I'd love to have that. The third thing I had and I've completely lost it. But basically I would just, oh I know the third

thing is what's the next step once you give us pricing. Is it the majority of the people that come to the meeting, is it the majority of the people that live there, what is the voting procedure.

Gonzalez: There's a petition procedure that would take 51% of the neighborhood, and with 51% we would go ahead, just like when your lighting district was created

Salvino: Of the people that live there or the people that show up?

Pizzino: No, no.

Gonzalez: The land owner.

Salvino: The people that live there. Okay. Thank you.

Gonzalez: And that number, if you have any problems, call the Township Fiscal Office. We maintain all of the lighting districts.

Salvino: Okay, thank you.

Pizzino: Yes, Brad.

Black: Let me ask a question. Who owns those lights now?

Gonzalez: You.

Black: They're all copper. They have some salvage value.

Gonzalez: The history of that, I asked the builder how those got in, Dominion was trying get into the business and they gave lights to the developers for free. That's how they got there.

Unidentified person: Twenty-four, seven, why wouldn't you?

Gonzalez: Yes. Well, back then when they did it, gas was much less than electric.

Yes, I'm Ken Miller, I live also in Windsor Green at 8353 Abbington. I guess, I think generally you've heard from all of us that are here today that the gas lights really are not an acceptable solution here and that they, you know, it would be nice to upgrade. My guess is that it's not just the cost of lights, it's also the installation running the power lines and doing the repairs to lines and things like that so I guess I have a question similar to the others is to what are the next steps, you know, do we need to get a group within our community to kind of talk through with the neighbors and we would need to understand installation costs in addition to the cost of the lighting itself and so if that's something the Township could help us with or do we need to do that on our own to contract the

Gonzalez: No, we'll be glad to go out and get you a rough price. We can't bid it. I would probably ask somebody to come in, some electric company to come in and give us an idea of

what they would charge. Now if we do that then we have to go out to bid and get the lowest and best bid. But we could at least get a ballpark, what it's going to cost you to do that. Because you know if it comes in a ridiculous amount, I'm sure you might not be interested.

Miller: Right.

Gonzalez: But like I said, at \$442.00 a year, if we finance that, and we did it over a ten or twelve year period, money comes back into the lighting district fund, it would make that number smaller. Another thing is, if you guys came in and said we'd rather get rid of the up front cost sooner and maybe do it over a five year period and then let it reduce to just electric cost, we could do it that way too. That's going to be between you and the Board of Trustees how they want to set up the lighting district – amount of years.

Miller: Okay. So next step in terms of you know I guess is it up to the neighborhood to try to organize a group to – or what would you suggest for the next step.

Gonzalez: Next step is if we feel the interest or the Board feels comfortable after tonight's meeting, we'll start talking to an electrician and try to get you prices. So you can go talk to your neighbors with a number in hand.

Pizzino: Yes, I don't think, I think it's premature for you to go to your neighbors and say 'hey, we're going to take all these lights out, we're going to put in electric but we don't know how much it's going to cost yet', let alone what it will save you. Probably Mr. Gonzalez' office will get hold of an electrician or two, go down a number of streets if we have to, get a rough cost and say 'okay, here's a rough cost' and if then we have enough interest then the next, I guess, would be

Gonzalez: It's just a perfect timing because we can leverage this. I mean, we're putting in, how many lights out there? One hundred?

Pizzino: One hundred.

Gonzalez: One hundred lights, streetlights are going to go in this Wales and Fulton intersection it'll be really nice, it's going to be beautiful. So we can leverage that maybe with your project.

Pizzino: And, again, those hundred lights are not out of your tax dollars locally. It came from a Federal grant.

Gonzalez: Right.

Miller: So you would send out a letter like you did before to all the residents then.

Gonzalez: Right.

Miller: Okay.

Gonzalez: Yes, we would probably look for some neighborhood leaders. When you get to that petition process, somebody has to circulate the petitions.

Miller: Okay.

Pizzino: We'll be glad to do what we can do. Okay. Brad.

Black: Who would set up the engineering for the lights the way they're set up? In Laurelwoods they're all sporadic, there are big dark spots and maybe we'll just replace the existing poles.

Gonzalez: Usually the electric companies do that for us for free.

Black: A whole redraw.

Gonzalez: The problem with the electric companies is I really don't think you'd be happy with their lights. They're fiberglass, they're not a metal light, they're not a heavy base, they drive them in on a stake and they tend to lean after time but we can get them to design and they actually check the luminaries to see that it's equally balanced through the whole allotment when they do that. You know, you don't have telephone poles in there that could make it real easy because they'll put mast lights, they'll do that for free. But as somebody mention obviously there is going to be some trenching in your yards to run power lines. Yes, I think the electric company would do that for us for free.

Unidentified person: (Indecipherable) I'm going to fix mine, (indecipherable) gas lights are a (indecipherable) the same way.

Black: The gas light could act as a conduit using the gas line.

Gonzalez: You know I asked that Brad. I did ask Ralph about that. I thought we could maybe use the gas line and pull the wires right through. He's saying its a quarter inch line.

Unidentified person: (Indecipherable) not big enough?

Gonzalez: Its a quarter inch, you can't pull the wires, not enough room. I thought of that, too. I thought that would be great if it was a half inch line.

My name is Beth Kroeger and I'm at 6478 Shipslanding, also in Laurelwoods.

Gonzalez: Could you hand those to me? That's what the lights look like.

Kroeger: And I also agree that the gas lighting is, as we all reiterated, don't produce very much light. We actually have one right out in front of our yard that we've had to call in the nine years that we've been there, probably four or five times. And once again, who do you call and it's been a hassle.

Gonzalez: Call the Fiscal Office. We'll get it straightened out if you call the Fiscal Office.

Kroeger: Well, times before we were to call or told to call the Fireplace Store. We did. You know, you get an issue from

Gonzalez: For the gas lights?

Kroeger: Yes, to come fix them or to relight them. And we had to call again because they didn't light ours. So once again, I agree the lighting does not produce very much lighting but it also with the question was would you put some other additional lights out because there's not that much light like every two or three

Gonzalez: That's one of the questions the gentleman asked if we go to the electric company, they come in and design so every point in the allotment is supposed to have an equal amount of light. And I can tell you, I'll tell you right now, it's not easy because I've gone through this numerous times, you're going to have somebody that's going to come in and I don't want that light in my yard, and another guy will say I want the light in my yard. That does go on a lot. But they try to balance it and they stagger them on the streets. We have a map up here of the way they look now, they will draw a new one and it will show you where the lights will go. These are your current ones and they actually tried to balance those out. Well those gas lights, I don't think you're going to do that. There have been some of those that have been taken out over the years.

Kroeger: Okay.

Gonzalez: There are ones that have broken and not been replaced, where they have literally taken lights out. There are some missing over there.

Kroeger: Well, we're in favor of but like everybody wants to know the cost and you know.

Gonzalez: We'll try to get that for you.

Pizzino: Is there any other comment?

Gonzalez: You know one of the things I want to add on those lights we just passed out, the picture of. Now some of the things you can get on them, we are ordering those with flag and banner holders. So one side you can put the banners up towards the sidewalk and the flag toward the street side. As you drive through the cities, either in Canton or North Canton, you see them on the sides. If you wanted to do those for your neighborhoods guys would have to come up with the banners or the flags but the poles could be built to hold them. If you don't want those, you don't have to have them either, but those are both available on those lights.

Pizzino: Okay, any other questions? We thank everybody for coming. Mr. Gonzalez' office will take charge of this and I think you'll have another hearing. Randy?

Gonzalez: We'll get numbers and send a letter out, will be the next step. Is there anybody in opposition? I don't want to put you on the spot, but does everybody seem to be against this?

Okay. The next step, we'll try to pricing and a map like you just saw up there, we'll get the electric company to do that and then we'll send that out to you.

Pizzino: Yes, sir.

Unidentified person: Will this be a private contract for this. This Union Carbide or Union Metal they supply the poles, does the electric company still get to participate in the survey?

Gonzalez: Well it depends. You'll have that choice, yes. I mean we can go to the electric company first and they'll draw it up and you'll have the choice of buying the electric ones, which are the fiberglass ones, or you're going to have the choice of going to the private vendor.

Unidentified person: Okay. So in any case the electric company provides the service.

Gonzalez: The design, yes.

Unidentified person: (Indecipherable) they get the contract.

Gonzalez: They're going to make the money off the electric one way or another. They don't really care if it's their light or your light.

Pizzino: Why don't you explain to them where they can see the poles. The difference in the park and North Canton.

Gonzalez: Yes. Go ahead.

Pizzino: I know it's your lighting district. If you want to check the poles we're talking about. The poles we have from Ohio Edison are the poles we have in our park on Community Parkway right off of Wales. You can see the type of streetlight there. If you guys want to drive up to the square of North Canton, that's what we're looking at for our square down here.

Unidentified person: What's in Carrington?

Pizzino: Carrington.

Gonzalez: That's one we're trying to get away from. That is a very elaborate light, it's very expensive and a beautiful fixture, but that's one of the ones that we're trying to get away from in lighting districts through the Township. Every time one of those goes down they are trouble to fix, luckily they have their own homeowners association, the Chief lives over there, and they pay into that to have those fixed.

Pizzino: That's pretty costly. What we're trying to do is get a beautified look, of course, at a reasonable rate for you. And, again, we didn't know who was going to show up because of the two responses we got both were opposed.

Gonzalez: Yes. I think people thought that. We were trying to take them out. We're not doing that. If you get a chance run up through North Canton or even in Canton those new lights they put all the way down Tusc. Those are Union Metal lights. They just have the double fixtures on them. But if you look at the banners on the side that makes a really nice look when you go down the street

Brad Black: There's an option on that, too. You can either use halides or sodiums and the lights are a lot better.

Gonzalez: They told us that. On the Union Metal ones, you can go up to two hundred watts.

Black: This project is also a better light.

Gonzalez: Do you know how much those lights are apiece?

Black: Only mine.

Gonzalez: Those lights that are down Tusc are \$10,000 apiece installed.

Black: What?

Gonzalez: Ten grand apiece installed.

Pizzino: Needless to say, we're not spending that kind of money for ours.

Unidentified person: Just one other question. With the letter that you send out with the costs could you just tell us, you know, what's the fixture and what's the installation, when you get that? I know (indecipherable), it's not going to be an exact figure.

Gonzalez: Yes.

Unidentified person: If you just have at least so we understand the difference between the installation versus the

Gonzalez: The cost. Yes. We know what the cost of the light is already. In fact, it went down again, since we're making it and buying them in bulk, it was kind of funny, John and I just met with the guy the other day that's doing the design out here and we've got it so we're buying those direct instead of paying the electrician because he had, how much did he have built in, 20%? It was like 20% built in for the electrician to buy the lights. We said no, we'll buy them ourselves.

Pizzino: Okay, well, we thank you for coming in and you'll be hearing from Mr. Gonzalez' office.

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 H

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to pay the bills in the amount of \$527,513.99.

3-0 yes

ATTACHMENT 06/23/08 I

Pizzino moved and Walters seconded a motion to approve the minutes of the June 9, 2008 Board of Trustees meeting.

3-0 yes

Routine Business

Announcements

- Next regular Board of Trustees meeting, July 14, 2008, 4:00 p.m., Executive and/or Work Session; 6:00 p.m., General Session, Township Hall.
- LOGIC, July 10, 2008, 9:00 a.m., Safety Center, Chiefs' Conference Room.
- CIC, July 21, 2008, 5:30 p.m., Township Hall.
- Board of Zoning Appeals:
 - June 26, 2008, 7:00 p.m., Township Hall.
 - July 10, 2008, 7:00 p.m., Township Hall.
- Citizens Advisory Committees:
 - Community Celebration, July 8, 2008, 5:30 p.m., Township Hall.
 - Park, July 8, 2008, 6:30 p.m., Township Hall.
 - Highway/Traffic, August 20, 2008, 6:30 p.m., Township Hall.
- **THANK YOU** to Community Celebration Committee members, Township employees, volunteers, and sponsors for their participation in this year's event.

Walters also expressed his thanks to Dave and his crew for the work done for the Celebration, and to all the Township employees who pitched in from Administration to Roads. He commended the Police and Fire for their great job and the Board and the entire Committee.

New Business

Pizzino moved and Walters seconded a motion to accept a \$500.00 sponsorship donation to the Community Celebration from Heitger Funeral Home.

3-0 yes

Old Business

None

Public Speaks – Open Forum

No one came forward.

Walters moved and Burger seconded a motion to adjourn.

3-0 yes

John Pizzino

Randy Gonzalez