

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

MINUTES OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES MEETING

DECEMBER 19, 2005

Burger called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. at the Jackson Township Hall with all Trustees, Fiscal Officer, Lyon and Fitzgerald present.

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to go into Executive Session for Personnel (Appointment/Compensation), interview Zoning Commission member, and interview Celebration Committee applicant, independent Consultant contract, and Park Personnel (Appointment/Employment/Compensation). **3-0 yes**

Upon return from Executive Session at 5:40 p.m., Burger opened the Work Session with Chief Heck, Moore, Poindexter, Neftzer and Boger in attendance.

Heck: Good evening, gentlemen. I've placed in front of you two pieces of paper. One is a budget projection through the year 2009 and it's basically just an educated guess of what our expenditures will be like through that time period. The yellow line is what you approved for 2006 and then '07, '08 and '09 are showing there. Some of the items as you look through on those line items you'll find that I really pulled expenses down, such as seminars, programs like that. Probably could take another couple hundred thousand out of this budget for those years. One of the things that would enable me to do that would be laying off our part-time staff and some other programs that we would discontinue to hold ourselves as tight to the budget as we possibly could.

I also have a sheet for 2006 showing income. If you look at the right hand column there's some different colors in there. The yellow one is the reserve account, \$368,877 that we had set aside for rainy days and that's the last of it. It's brought into 2006. To the left of that you can see we have other dollars brought in in the past but the \$368 is brought in to assist on the 2006 budget. We also had some increases in our ambulance billing and they're reflective in the orange color that's there. And then the red was the estimated dollars we had for 2006. If we remove our rainy day fund, \$368,000, that blue line is what we normally would have. And come next year at this time we wouldn't have the rainy day fund so we'd be sitting with about \$7.8 million for operations. And that's probably a breakeven if we're successful in doing a replacement this year, which we should be.

Looking out in that larger paper I gave you, the three years, I can't see us going any further than three years with a replacement levy and not having had a major reappraisal. I question whether 2009 will be as successful as it indicates there in those dollar flows. I've tried to hold the dollars down. I increased, obviously, wages and pension costs, the fixed costs we have with people and probably contractual obligations, increased our health care costs but I also turned around and lowered other areas in that proposal. And again, probably could pull two hundred out of that but not much more without having a direct impact on services that we offer.

With that in mind, that's where I'm looking at going with a levy for replacement to be three years. We may even have to come back and address the issue of adding a small fractional mill or so to help us along. The other thing that's going to happen is if I understand the Fiscal Officer, in 2010 we will start to lose totally those personal property taxes. And this is with personal property makeup in it – the budget that I've shown you is with the personal property makeup that the State said they will use to keep us whole, but that will fall off, Randy, if I'm correct, starting in 2010.

Gonzalez: Yes, it starts to decline in 2011. It goes through a four year cycle and it's all gone. The other issue with the personal property and we know what that's locked in at so even if there is a major new business coming in, then we're not going to get any more because the personal property tax is frozen.

Heck: So, again, I guess my comments to the Board, or recommendations to the Board, would be to consider placing a replacement levy on for three years and keep in the back of your mind we may still have to seek a minor levy next year or the following year to help us get through and into a major reappraisal of the Township. That depends on where inflation is, if we stay where we've been for the last couple years, last four or five years, I think we'll be okay. Especially with a lot of our equipment being in the shape it's in right now. We still have the aerial that's an item for replacement, we need to keep that in the back of our minds. And I've held off starting the replacement of ambulances, but next year we're going to have to do at least Station 4's squad, get it started and there are reserve funds for that on the books that will help but if our last year of that three year replacement gets tight, I'll start pulling dollars out of those reserve capital accounts to help make ends meet, too.

Burger: Without taking the time to look through here, what is the cost of the part-time staff?

Heck: Part-time staff runs about \$185,000. That's with their Medicare and Social Security costs. We haven't done any salary adjustment for them for a couple of years.

Gonzalez: You've been down two people for over a year now.

Heck: We're down two people. We really need five to strengthen those shifts. When we opened Five, again, we did the same thing we've been doing and that's hiring just nine people but it doesn't cover any vacations, Kelly days, sick leave and we've done that with every station we've opened. And that's why we need to really be looking at getting five people.

Gonzalez: In this budget, do you have anything built in here.

Heck: I have money built in there that would allow us to get our two back. But not allow us to do anything else.

Pizzino: In our '06 budget?

Heck: In our '06, yes.

Gonzalez: That will help lower your overtime costs.

Heck: That will lower overtime cost some but again we've got a lot of people, I shouldn't say a lot, but we've got four or five people on extended injury leave in the department that's cutting into non-anticipated OT for us.

Pizzino: What are your projections in the fourth year and the fifth year? What deficits if we don't come back and replace that levy in three years.

Heck: If we don't replace it, I think heading into our fourth year we'd already be short to the \$400,000. And then we'd be starting to face that personal property tax write-off and that we know when it concludes is going to be another half million. I think at that point I'd recommend to the Board to close a fire house. And we really need to be thinking about adding a fire station. Last year we had probably 150 – 175 times that all five stations were tied up. This year we're going to be closer to 200 times. We bring mutual aid companies in. If you look at that hour of activity and it's just the growth of the community, it's there.

Going back to when we put the levy in place, in 1986, we thought we probably could go two or three five-year terms with that before we'd have to seek additional millage. We've actually gone 20 years. We went through a renewal for three years instead of a replacement. I think we've been very fortunate to be able to stay at that millage. But I think reality is setting in, that we just can't continue to function on 20 year old millage that's on the books for the services that we offer in the community.

Pizzino: If we would add a half mill additional levy with this replacement levy, would we get by the five years? Through the five years.

Heck: Yes. I think we would be close but I think we could do it.

Pizzino: Mr. Gonzalez, do you know what that would generate? A half mill?

Gonzalez: In the binder, John, there are a couple examples. I don't have it for a half but I have it at .4. To add .4 it's \$12.25 per \$100,000 of evaluation per year. On the second row down, to replace it would be an increase of \$20.83 per year. The additional would take it back up to a 6.0 levy, as it originally was.

Pizzino: Where are you getting that \$12.25 figure?

Gonzalez: See where it says costs for .4 mill additional will take the levy back to 6 mill, it's in the third column at the bottom.

Pizzino: Okay. I see that.

Gonzalez: Then there's the cost of replacement and 1 mill additional. The last one is cost of replacement and a .4 of a mill that would be \$33.08 for a \$100,000 home.

Heck: Again, the thing we're facing is we have missed the major reappraisal for the first time.

Gonzalez: And this levy will never hit in that cycle.

Heck: That's true. It would be back haunting us every 5 year cycle. So, again, I would recommend a three year replacement. Look at next year or the following year if we have to do a small half mill addition and then combine them together as we come out of that time period. And that keeps us away from the Police Levy, too.

Pizzino: Thank you.

Meeks: Thanks, Chief.

Heck: Thank you.

Gonzalez: Neal, how much time.

Fitzgerald: February 16th is the final cut off. You have to do the Auditor's resolution which takes about a day or two for them to turn that around. There's two meetings in January and one meeting in February to accomplish that.

At 6:00 p.m., Burger called the General Session to order with all department heads present. He requested that all cell phones and pagers be turned off at this time.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Public Speaks

Paul Biedenbach, 4865 Andette Ave. N.W. Earlier I checked e-mail and it showed that you were talking about the zoning fee schedule. I'd also like to add one other thing to that zoning issue, again, I'll ask if you mind or not. But first off, I noticed a couple weeks ago that it was brought up by this Board they'd like to see the Zoning Department being self-sufficient. I am a State licensed electrical inspector and every year we're required to take courses to keep our certification. The one this year was on credibility of the code. When we talked about the credibility of the code there are a lot of communities that are now waiving zoning fees and building permit fees for things to get them done right. An example is decks, a lot of communities say the decks are being put up, the hand rails aren't correct, the heights aren't right, the widths are too wide, the kids heads could get through them, the dog could fall out. Things like that. I don't know of a community in the State that actually is self-sufficient in this realm, so I just want to bring it to your attention that these are the kind of things we want done right. We don't want people just building a deck and saying 'well, I didn't know I had to have zoning'. We want them to come to us first. We can give them the rules, make sure the set-backs are right, the heights are right, and you have a hand rail that's 30 inches up or whatever it is. I don't know all those details, but those are the kind of things we really want people to do. So I think that when you keep raising fees you have to be cautious of that because the more it costs, the less

chance you're going to have of people coming in and saying 'I'm going to do this right, how do I do it' and things like that. So I wanted to bring that up as an idea of what to consider when you're changing the fee schedule and increasing it like that.

The other issue, if I could bring it up since it's not a topic, is zoning department hours. One of the things they brought up at our class was you need some evening hours, some Saturday hours so people that work out of town can call you and talk about things and decide whether they need a permit, what needs to be done and things like that. So, those are a couple issues that I think should be taken into consideration when you make these changes.

Burger: That's a good point. I don't know of any village or city that has hours other than the normal 8 to 4:30 hours.

Biedenbach: Well, one of them is Cuyahoga Falls. Cuyahoga Falls now has an evening that they're open and also a half a day on Saturday. So they adjusted the whole zoning department so they have a day off, I don't know if it's Monday or whatever, but they work like a ten hour day or twelve hour day during the week and then four hours on Saturday. And you don't have to have a whole department, if you have one person that knows what's going on and can answer questions, that's basically it. I guess what the credibility of the code was trying to tell us was that we're a customer service type organization so we have to adapt to what the customer's needs are. Just thought I'd bring that up.

Gonzalez: The only thing is I think you're tending to confuse here. We don't do that. You're confusing building codes and zoning codes. We can't tell somebody how to build a deck or how far apart the spindles should be or anything like that. It's just a matter of can that deck be there or not. Am I correct?

Biedenbach: What about the set-backs, things like that?

Gonzalez: That's what I'm saying. Can the deck be there or not?

Biedenbach: So you want it done properly, correct? That's what I'm talking about.

Pizzino: No, you're talking about safety. We don't have anything to do with safety.

Gonzalez: We're not talking about building it. We're talking about whether it's allowed in that position.

Pizzino: Right. In other words, if the set-backs are there, they're allowed to put it there. Now it's up to the building department to tell them how to do that. You're correct. I agree with you, too. I mean, you want it safe, you don't want a little child to get their head between the rails. But we don't have that control as a township.

Biedenbach: But for example, over in Lake Cable, which is I think is a good example. You have somebody build a deck and they cantilevered it over the neighbor's property. Until that neighbor complains, there's no issue. So the person that's living there maybe they don't care. When they

go to sell the house, now you have a deck that's overtop of the property. Never got a zoning permit, so it was never checked. Those are some of the issues, you know, it all wraps together. Most areas, since they have building and zoning together, you don't have that. They check zoning, they do building. Here we have the zoning first and the other issue, when you think about it, if they don't get a zoning permit, are they going to get a building permit?

Poindexter: No.

Biedenbach: So, we have to start the process. Above ground pools are a great example. Above ground pools are one of the worst things for an electrical inspector. Three things don't mix: water, people and electricity. So you want those pools inspected. You don't want them plugging into a receptacle in a house for the pump and someone having a catastrophe. So it all starts with zoning, so I think we have to look ahead. If we can get that zoning in and they apply for it and we can get them through and I'm sure Joni tells them 'now you have to go to the building department and get a permit. They'll tell you what the things are.' We have to make sure that process starts. If it doesn't start and I see it all the time. I'm at the end of the township where we're kind of we're not so active. It's moving out towards us. People get away with a lot of things without ever going to zoning.

Pizzino: And that's going to happen, Paul.

Biedenbach: And we don't want that. Whatever we can do to try to get them to follow the rules and to present them in the right way. That's all I'm saying, we don't want to make it harder for them by raising the rates. We want them to come in and say, 'hey I want to do this, how do I have to do it'. And the first thing is we have to make sure you can do it on your lot and then tell them what to do after that. So it's just one of those revolving type things. Safety isn't our issue but I think you have to start it with zoning. That's the only control we have, right? So if we can get them in here and talk to them and make sure it's done right it helps all of us. It helps the property values of everybody.

Poindexter: We do send copies of our zoning permits to the Stark County Building Department so they know that someone is planning on doing this and that they need to get a permit for it.

Biedenbach: So they're watching out for it, which is great.

Poindexter: Right.

Biedenbach: But if we don't get that zoning permit do we get that?

Poindexter: No, because we've had the Building Department call us and say so and so is here for a building permit but they don't have their zoning permit with them, do they have one? We look it up, if they do, we will fax that over to the Building Department, if they don't have it, they will not give them a permit until they have that.

Pizzino: That's great, it's a catch all. They have to get ours first.

Biedenbach: Well, a good example is with all the housing going up, you'll see them put a plate outside the back door and they'll put a two by four across it so you can't use the back door because they can't afford the deck. But, when they go to build that deck, how many people are contacting us to make sure they don't go over the zoning and get the building department.

Poindexter: Well, you're always going to have someone that tries to do it without a permit. But if we catch them, then we tell them they have to get a permit. If they don't meet the setback requirements, they either need to ask for a variance or we pursue it and they may even have to remove it.

Burger: I think on the first part of your question, the thing you'd like to see are some hours set aside for people who work a forty hour week to come in at 5:30 – 6:00 to be able to get a permit.

Biedenbach: And to be able to talk to somebody. I think that's the biggest thing. A lot of people, especially you can see the big box stores, how big they're getting. If they're growing that big, people must be using their resources which is a lot of treated lumber, a lot of nails, and you know, those aren't contractors so they don't follow the rules and they don't do it because they know it's going to hurt their next job. A contractor knows he has to follow the rules and things like that, so it's just something that was brought up to me for my training and I thought you should consider it since it's up for discussion tonight.

Burger: I appreciate it and I'm sure we'll put it on our list of work session items and discuss it and see what we can come up with.

Biedenbach: All right, thank you, Mr. President.

Burger: Thank you.

Administration Department

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 A

Pizzino moved and Meeks seconded a motion to approve the Zoning Certificate Fee Schedule as presented. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 B

Meeks moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve, if funds become available, Zoning and Planning Budget Module No. 5, in the amount of \$50,000.00. Must go back to Board. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 C

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to reappoint Ed McDonnell to serve as a member of the Jackson Township Board of Zoning Appeals for a five-year term, January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 D

Burger moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to reappoint Eric Oldroyd to serve as an alternate member of the Jackson Township Board of Zoning Appeals for a one-year term, January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 E

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to reappoint James Bauder to serve as a member of the Jackson Township Zoning Commission for a five-year term, January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 F

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to reappoint Nancy Detwiler to serve as an alternate member of the Jackson Township Zoning Commission for a one-year term, January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 G

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to approve and authorize the Board members to sign the Report to County Auditor for abatement of a nuisance at 6843 High Mill Avenue NW. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 H

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 101.110.5387, Discretionary, to account code 101.110.5485, Electric, in the amount of the \$3,500.00. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 05-117, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Meeks seconded a motion that pursuant to ORC Section 9.36, we hereby adopt and authorize the placement of the Board's signatures on the attached Consultant Agreement with Gregory A. Becker. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 I

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to appoint the following Township representatives to serve on the Health Insurance Committee effective January 1, 2006:

1. Trustee Steven Meeks
2. Fiscal Officer Randy Gonzalez
3. Township Administrator Marilyn Lyon
4. Fire Chief Ted Heck
5. Police Chief Harley Neftzer
6. Dave Ruwadi

3-0 yes

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 J

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to adjust the Township's standard mileage reimbursement rate to 44.5 cents per mile for business miles driven, in accordance with the Internal Revenue Service. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 05-118, ATTACHED

Pizzino moved and Meeks seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board's signatures upon the attached Lease Agreement with the Jackson Belden Chamber of Commerce, Inc. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 K

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to reappoint the following individuals to the 2006 Highway/Traffic Citizens Advisory Committee:

1. Klaus Kuttrus
2. Janice Spadone

3-0 yes

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 L

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to reappoint the following individuals to the 2006 Park Citizens Advisory Committee:

1. James Bauder
2. Wanda Hinderer
3. Steve Rohr
4. Lawrence Rohrig
5. Steve Studer

3-0 yes

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 M

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to appoint John "Eric" Allison as a member of the 2006 Jackson Community Celebration Committee. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 N

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to appoint William M. Burger, John E. Pizzino and Steven M. Meeks to serve as Jackson Township's representatives on the Stark County Regional Planning Commission, and to appoint Marilyn Lyon, Joni Poindexter, and Ralph Boger, as their alternates, effective January 1, 2006. **3-0 yes**

Lyon: And I have one other item as a result of the earlier Work Session on reorganization of the Park Department. In past years, the Township and the Y have worked together to have the Y take over some of the programming duties in the Park once their building became a reality and they established their office in Jackson Township. Since that's now happening and the building is under way, we will meet again in January to firm up some of the programs that the Y will be able to assume. By doing so, it would necessitate the Board to not renew the current contract that we have with the Program Director but to create a part-time position to coordinate with the Y programming. So I'd like to ask the Board, in that regard, if you want to proceed that way or have any other discussion.

Meeks: Well, I think that the programming position is needed, however with the quality organization such as the YMCA into our community, they are able to take on more than what they have in the past once they do have their facility complete. And meeting with their representative I think that they have shown an interest in doing so. And by doing that we also are looking at reorganizing the Park Department and I think this would just go hand in hand with what the ultimate goal is in being able to give quality programs, put them on, and be a little more

efficient with that. So with that, I have no problems with creating a part-time or moving our Program Director to a part-time position instead of a full-time as presently.

Pizzino: I'll second that motion.

Gonzalez: The motion is to move the

Meeks: Yes, Program Director from a full-time position to a part-time position.

Pizzino: Would we have to have a new pay scale?

Lyon: Yes, I'd come back with a list of duties and a proposed pay scale.

Pizzino: And you're going to come back with a job description then. Okay, I'll second that.

Burger: You're also going to advertise in the newspapers, correct?

Lyon: Yes.

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion a move the position of Park Program Director to a part-time position instead of a full-time position as currently established. **3-0 yes**

Fire Department

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 O

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 210.210.5136, Longevity, to account code 210.210.5450, Dispatch Services, in the amount of \$19,686.20, from account code 210.210.5385, Other Expense, to account code 210.210.5450, Dispatch Services, in the amount of \$50,174.57, from account code 210.210.5230, Workers' Comp., to account code 210.210.5450, Dispatch Services, in the amount of \$2,754.15, and from account code 210.210.5230, Workers' Comp., to account code 210.210.5656, Office Inventory, in the amount of \$5,100.00, for a total of \$77,714.92. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 P

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 228.210.5385, Other Expense, to account code 228.210.5452, Billing/collections, in the amount of \$747.00. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 Q

Pizzino moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 228.210.5110, Salary, to account code 228.210.5120, Overtime, in the amount of \$23,000.00. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 R

Pizzino moved and Meeks seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 210.210.5387, Cont. & Discretionary, to account codes 210.210.5214, Emp.

Medicare, in the amount of \$3,500.00; 210.211.5490, Sta. 1 Sewer, in the amount of \$226.18; 210.212.5484, Sta. 2 Gas, in the amount of \$567.00; 210.212.5490, Sta. 2 Sewer, in the amount of \$8.24; 210.213.5484, Sta. 3 Gas, in the amount of \$817.04; 210.214.5484, Sta. 4 Gas, in the amount of \$1,025.01; and 210.215.5490, Sta. 5 Sewer, in the amount of \$76.08, for a total of \$6,219.55. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 S

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to accept the resignation of Stephen J. Keyser from the Fire Department effective December 13, 2005. **3-0 yes**

Highway Department

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 T

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board President's signature upon the attached Change Order No. 5 for the Portage West II Project. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 U

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board President's signature upon the attached Change Order No. 6 for the Portage West II Project. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 05-119, ATTACHED

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of the Board's signatures upon the attached Portage/Lutz Intersection Agreement. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 V

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 204.310.5387, Discretionary, to account code 204.310.5522, Building Maintenance, in the amount of \$1,500.00. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 W

Meeks moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 204.310.5387, Discretionary, to account code 204.310.5556, Snow & Ice Control, in the amount of \$51,945.00. **3-0 yes**

RESOLUTION 05-120, ATTACHED

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to adopt and authorize the placement of a stop sign at:

Rolling Green Avenue N.W. to stop northbound at Strausser Street N.W.

Club House Green Circle N.W. to stop eastbound at Rolling Green Avenue N.W. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 X

Ralph Boger updated the Board on the Stark County Yard Waste Program.

Legal Department

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 Y

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 101.140.5220, Hospitalization, to account code 101.140.5110, Salaries, in the amount of \$2,000.00. **3-0 yes**

Police Department

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 Z

Meeks moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to approve the Negotiated Agreement between the Jackson Township Board of Trustees and the Fraternal Order of Police, Patrol Officers, effective January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2008. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 AA

Burger moved and Pizzino seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 209.250.5387, Discretionary, to account codes 209.250.5114, Temp. Services, in the amount of \$3,500.00; 209.250.5396, Vehicle Maintenance, in the amount of \$4,500.00; 209.250.5414, Equipment Maintenance, in the amount of \$5,500.00; 209.258.5210, Pension Pick Up, in the amount of \$1,934.66; 209.258.5212, Pension Employers, in the amount of \$4,242.85; 209.255.5112, Part-time, in the amount of \$5,000.00; and 209.255.5120, Overtime, in the amount of \$32,000.00, for a total of \$56,677.51. **3-0 yes**

Chief Neftzer updated the Board on the new countywide radios that have been issued.

Fiscal Officer's Office

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 BB

Burger moved and Meeks seconded a motion to pay the bills in the amount of \$542,403.35. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 CC

Meeks moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve the minutes of the December 5, 2005 Board of Trustees meeting. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 DD

Meeks moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve a transfer of \$4,928.11 from General Fund #101 to Self-funded Medical Insurance Fund #727. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 EE

Meeks moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve the appropriation transfer request from account code 101.150.5110, Regular Salaries, to account code 101.150.5953, Fund #727 transfer, in the amount of \$2,928.11. **3-0 yes**

ATTACHMENT 12/19/05 FF

Meeks moved and Burger seconded a motion to approve the 2006 Permanent Appropriations of \$30,983,744.41 with the Legal Level of Control at the Fund Level. **3-0 yes**

Routine Business

Announcements

- Next regular Board of Trustees meeting, January 9, 2006, 4:00 p.m. Executive Session and/or Work Session, 6:00 p.m., General Session, Township Hall.
- LOGIC, January 5, 2006, 9:00 a.m., Safety Center, Chiefs' Conference Room.
- Board of Zoning Appeals, December 22, 2005, 7:00 p.m., Township Hall.
- Citizens Advisory Committee:
 - Highway/Traffic, February 15, 2006, 6:30 p.m., Township Hall.

Pizzino announced a special LOGIC meeting on Wednesday, December 21, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. at the Chiefs' Conference Room.

Old Business – None

New Business

Pizzino moved and Meeks seconded a motion not to object to the transfer of a Liquor License to SLZ, Inc. dba Sahara Grille, 4794 Dressler Rd. **3-0 yes**

Public Speaks – Open Forum

Paul Biedenbach, 4865 Andette Ave. N.W., asked about the status of the property line on Beatty. Mr. Boger reported that there may be a foot to a foot and a half of asphalt over the property line but doesn't recommend spending the money to move the road for that foot to foot and a half.

Boger also said he can't answer any drainage questions yet since he hasn't seen the developer's plans.

Mr. Pizzino and Mr. Boger talked with the contractor about cutting the hill down. The contractor has agreed to look into that and also will have his engineer look at what the grade changes should be.

Mr. Biedenbach also asked about the status of the Skatepark. Mr. Pizzino answered that it depends on funding and suggested returning the question of continuing to develop the Skatepark to the Park Committee.

Annual Records Commission Meeting

Gonzalez called the meeting to order with Mr. Burger and Mr. Gonzalez present.

Gonzalez moved and Burger seconded a motion to authorize record disposal in accordance with Record Retention and Disposal Regulations. **2-0 yes**

Gonzalez moved and Burger seconded a motion to adjourn the Records Commission Meeting. **2-0 yes**

Pizzino moved and Meeks seconded a motion to adjourn the Board of Trustees meeting. **3-0 yes**

William Burger

Randy Gonzalez